## Dinsmôre



### Nancy A. Lawson

Of Counsel nancy.lawson@dinsmore.com

Cincinnati, OH Tel: (513) 977-8318

Nancy has extensive experience in all aspects of civil litigation. Her practice includes commercial litigation and product liability litigation, and she has served as local, regional and national counsel in large litigation matters. Nancy is an arbitrator for the Cincinnati Bar Association Arbitration Services. She also participates in pro bono activities, including currently as a member of the Board of Dress for Success Cincinnati. She is a *Best Lawyers*<sup>®</sup> and has been selected for the Ohio *Super Lawyers*<sup>®</sup> list.

#### Services

- Litigation
- Product Liability
- Toxic Tort
- Mass Tort
- Employment

#### Education

- University of Toledo College of Law (J.D., *magna cum laude*)
  Valedictorian
- Boston University (M.Ed.)
- Skidmore College (B.A.)

#### **Bar Admissions**

Ohio

#### **Court Admissions**

- U.S. Supreme Court
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit

# Dinsmôre

- U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio
- U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio

#### Affiliations/Memberships

- International Association of Defense Counsel
- Defense Research Institute
- Ohio State Bar Association
- Cincinnati Bar Association
- Dress for Success Cincinnati
  - o Board member
  - o Board of Directors, past chair
- YWCA Board Member
  - o Board member
  - o Board of Directors, past chair
- The Ronald McDonald House, Board of Directors, past chair
- The Legal Aid Society of Greater Cincinnati, Board of Directors, past chair
- Leadership Cincinnati, Alumnae Board
- Arbitrator for Cincinnati Bar Association Arbitration Services

#### Distinctions

- Peer Review Rated AV in Martindale-Hubbell
- Best Lawyers<sup>®</sup>
  - o Commercial and Labor & Employment Litigation (2021-2024)
- Ohio Super Lawyers®
- Ohio's Top Women Litigators by Ohio Law
- Cincinnati's Best Attorneys by Cincinnati Magazine

### Experience

#### Lewis v. Synthes, et al.

Dinsmore & Shohl represented Synthes, a medical device manufacturer, in the Ohio cases involving alleged injuries from the use of pedicle screws. The cases were dismissed.

#### Anonymous Plaintiff v. Manufacturer of Dental Equipment

# Dinsmôre

Dinsmore & Shohl represented the manufacturer of dental equipment in a claim alleging personal injuries as a result of exposure to sewer gas. The case was settled.

#### **Asbestos Litigation**

Dinsmore & Shohl represented Owens Illinois in lawsuits filed in Southern Ohio by numerous workers alleging personal injuries as a result of exposure to asbestos. These cases resulted in either settlement, dismissal or a jury verdict for the defense.

#### Frederick Moore v. The Glidden Company

Dinsmore & Shohl represented Glidden in connection with several lawsuits brought by children and parents alleging personal injuries as a result of exposure to lead paint. The cases were dismissed by the Plaintiffs.

#### In re: Silica Product Liability Litigation

Dinsmore & Shohl represented Robert Bosch Tool Corporation in the MDL litigation involving claims of personal injury for exposure to silica. The United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, Corpus Christi Division, remanded the cases to the Mississippi State Courts. The cases were then dismissed.

#### **Silicone Breast Implant Litigation**

Dinsmore & Shohl coordinated on a national basis thousands of lawsuits in state and federal courts. We developed and presented complex medical and scientific evidence on emerging issues, involving silicone chemistry, product integrity, immunology and rheumatology.

#### Steadfast Insurance Company v. Eon Lab (KY)

Dinsmore & Shohl represented the Plaintiff, a British based plc, in insurance coverage litigation relating to the MDL Phen-Fen litigation. The case was settled.

#### The Procter & Gamble Company v. Paul Stoneham

Dinsmore & Shohl represented The Procter & Gamble Company in a lawsuit against a former employee for violation of The Procter & Gamble Non-Competition Agreement and the Ohio Trade Secrets Act. The Court of Appeals adopted the doctrine of inevitable use and upheld the validity of The Procter & Gamble Non-Competition Agreement.