



Christopher L. Jackson

Partner

christopher.jackson@dinsmore.com

Lexington, KY

Tel: (859) 425-1048

Chris' practice focuses on counseling clients on product liability issues, which includes handling cases from the start of claim investigations through trial. His clients include manufacturers and distributors of medical, industrial, automotive, and recreational equipment. One of Chris' favorite aspects of product liability defense is working with in-house engineers and professionals, as well as outside experts, to better understand how his clients' products are designed and operate. He knows that success for clients means balancing an understanding for complicated equipment and how they work with the ability to identify themes, case-specific facts, and explanations a jury can readily understand. Chris' ability to transform complicated issues into understandable and persuasive defenses is a major factor in his successes.

His experience includes all aspects of litigation, from initial case assessment, managing discovery, strategic planning, and motion practice, to achieving positive trial and appellate outcomes for clients. He has obtained summary judgments and dismissals on behalf of his clients and has successfully tried cases and defended matters on appeal.

Chris is also experienced in complex litigation, such as defending product manufacturers in multi-district litigation.

Services

- Litigation
- Tort
- Product Liability

Education

- University of Kentucky College of Law (J.D., 2011)
 - Kentucky Law Journal, senior staff editor
- University of Kentucky (B.A., *cum laude*, 2007)
 - Political Science

Bar Admissions

- Kentucky

Court Admissions

- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit
- U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky
- U.S. District Court for the Western District of Kentucky

Affiliations/Memberships

- Fayette County Bar Association
- Defense Research Institute
- Defense Research Institute Product Liability, Recreational Products Special Litigation Group, chair
- Product Liability Advisory Council (PLAC), Sustaining Member

Distinctions

- *Best Lawyers*®
 - "Ones to Watch" in Lexington for Product Liability Litigation - Defendants (2022-2024)

Experience

California Federal Court Product Liability Case

California federal court product liability case, where we defended an international heavy equipment manufacturer. Plaintiff suffered significant injuries and requested more than \$60,000,000 at trial. Christopher obtained a unanimous defense verdict.

Kentucky Federal Court Premises Liability Case

Kentucky federal court premises liability case, where we defended a national retailer. Plaintiff suffered significant injuries, falling over an object. Christopher second chaired this trial as training counsel for a young associate. We obtained a unanimous defense verdict.

Kentucky Federal Court Wrongful Death Product Liability Case

Kentucky federal court wrongful death product liability case, where we defended an international heavy equipment manufacturer. Decedent left behind young children and was a war hero and purple heart recipient. Plaintiff requested in excess of \$50,000,000 in damages. Christopher obtained a unanimous defense verdict.

Kentucky State Court Premises Liability Case

Kentucky state court premises liability case, where we defended a national retailer. Plaintiff suffered significant injuries requiring surgeries, from falling over a pallet. Christopher obtained a unanimous defense verdict.

Kentucky State Court Premises Liability Case

Kentucky state court premises liability case, where we defended a national retailer. Plaintiff suffered significant injuries requiring surgeries, from falling over a pallet. Christopher obtained a unanimous defense verdict.

Kentucky State Court Product Liability Case

Kentucky state court product liability case, where we defended a national retailer. Plaintiff asked for significant damages from a hand laceration. Christopher obtained a unanimous defense verdict.

Successfully Defended Manufacturer in Entrapment Case

We represented our client, Genie – a global aerial work platform manufacturer, in a lawsuit after a worker suffered fatal injuries in an entrapment accident. The plaintiffs claimed that aerial work platforms should be equipped with mandatory secondary guarding accessories, which plaintiffs claim would have prevented this death. The plaintiffs sought \$69 million, including punitive damages. Post-accident evidence, including evidence of non-similar accidents, were allowed to go to the jury. Despite these challenges, we received a unanimous defense verdict from the jury after nearly two weeks of testimony. The jury rendered the defense verdict in under 90 minutes, agreeing these machines, which have been used for decades and millions of man hours, are not defective and unreasonably dangerous. This case was also significant for the industry, as it is the first entrapment case to be tried to verdict.

Publications

June 2022

And They're Off! Snap Removal: "A Race to the Courthouse"

DRI

March 1, 2022

Considerations for Product Liability Claims Simultaneously Brought Against Manufacturers and Dealers For the Defense

July 6, 2021

States' COVID-19 Immunity Statutes and Product Liability Claims Related to COVID-19

dri | Strictly Speaking

April 2021

Understanding and Defending New Technologies

For the Defense - dri

February 1, 2020

Understanding and Defending New Technologies

DRI - The Voice of the Defense Bar