



David D. Black

Partner
david.black@dinsmore.com

Cincinnati, OH
Tel: (513) 977-8143

With an aggressive approach to handling labor and employment matters, David's ability to learn his client's business and adapt a strategy to suit their needs enables his clients to move forward with confidence. In addition to being a seasoned litigator who never shies away from taking a case to trial when the circumstances merit, he has experience with third-party mediations and arbitrations, allowing his clients to reach alternative resolutions short of the courtroom when possible.

David handles all varieties of employment challenges for clients, ranging from on-the-job injuries and workers' compensation cases to trade secrets/non-compete matters to general employment and contract disputes between businesses. His clients hail from a variety of industries, including manufacturing companies, leasing companies and construction businesses, requiring a thorough understanding of each client's operations. He works diligently to assess and evaluate each case, working with the client to prevent litigation and explore settlement options when they exist. However, when litigation becomes inevitable, David's experience in state and federal courts enables him to tailor an efficient strategy that accomplishes the client's objective.

His business knowledge and litigation experience enable him to work with clients in the construction business, representing construction managers, contractors, subcontractors and design professionals in a variety of construction law matters, including contract disputes, delay claims and project defects.

Additionally, David served as a law clerk for United States District Court Eastern District of Kentucky Judge William O. Bertelsman from 1994-1995.

Services

- Labor
- Product Liability
- Workers' Compensation
- Construction Industry

Education

- Northern Kentucky University, Chase College of Law (J.D., *magna cum laude*, 1994)
 - Law Review, lead articles editor
 - Moot Court National Team
- Murray State University (B.A., *cum laude*, 1990)
 - Business Administration

Bar Admissions

- Ohio
- Kentucky

Court Admissions

- U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio
- U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Kentucky
- U.S. District Court for the Western District of Kentucky

Affiliations/Memberships

- Cincinnati Bar Association
- Northern Kentucky Bar Association
- Chase College of Law, adjunct professor (1994 - 2003)

Distinctions

- Ohio *Super Lawyers*®
- *Best Lawyers*®
 - Construction Litigation Law and Labor and Employment Litigation (2021-2024)

Languages

- Spanish

Experience

Class Action Lawsuit

Obtained beneficial settlement of shareholder class action lawsuit by City of Pontiac General Employees' Retirement System seeking to preclude the sale of assets in multi-million dollar transaction. In a related matter, successfully defended against a motion for preliminary injunction where management company sought to delay termination of a management agreement in light of the pending sale of assets.

CAE, Inc., et al. v. Three Cities Research, Inc., et al., District of Oregon

I played a lead role in obtaining dismissal in 2009-2010 of a \$97 million claim brought against a private equity fund and pertinent directors and officers in Federal District Court in Oregon. The case, brought under civil RICO provisions, involved an international transaction with Canadian entities, and concerned alleged contingent consideration owed under a stock purchase agreement. The issues had been previously raised in Canadian proceedings and remain subject to international arbitration in Canada. I served as the lead attorney in extensive briefing on issues involving forum non conveniens, abstention, arbitration, and RICO, which led the Court to dismiss the case with prejudice.

Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Genesis Worldwide, Inc., et al. v. Three Cities Research, Inc., et al.

I played a lead role in successfully assisted our clients, two private equity funds and their investment advisors, in resisting a \$62 million claim made against them. The case centered around the sale of the stock of Precision Industrial Corporation, a company which manufactured and serviced steel coil processing machinery. After the sale, and amid an industry downturn, the buyer defaulted on its loans and filed for bankruptcy. The buyer then claimed that it had substantially overpaid for the stock of Precision and that our clients had unfairly benefited as part of an alleged leveraged buyout. Filing an adversary proceeding in Bankruptcy Court, the Plaintiffs sought to recover most or all of the original sale price. They blamed the selling stockholders and their advisors for subsequent industry problems and technical issues which surfaced after the stock purchase. When settlement negotiations proved unsuccessful, I argued and prevailed in discovery motions in Court. I then lead the effort to obtain detailed accounting, engineering, and business data which ultimately vindicated our clients in demonstrating that the deal had been fair and reasonable. I worked with expert witnesses, including forensic accountants and business valuation experts, to gather and analyze the pertinent data. I personally took numerous key depositions of the critical fact and expert witnesses. When we presented our case in Court on summary judgment, we convinced Plaintiffs to dismiss their fraud claim, and admit that as to remaining claims, it was "impossible to say Plaintiffs were likely to succeed at trial." The Bankruptcy Court then approved a nuisance value settlement in an amount less than the remaining cost of defense, less than one-half of one percent of the amount claimed in the Complaint.

Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, On Its Own Behalf And On Behalf of Dwight's Piano Company et al. v. Karen L. Hendricks et al., Adversary Proceeding Case No. 02-1158, U.S. District Court, Southern District of Ohio

Obtained Judgment in favor of former CEO of Baldwin Piano Company after a two-week trial in Federal Court in the Southern District of Ohio. The Committee of Unsecured Creditors alleged, among other things, that the CEO breached her fiduciary duties in the management of the Company and sought damages in excess of \$28 million. Earlier in the case, we obtained the dismissal of approximately \$24 million in unsatisfied secured and unsecured claims and administrative costs associated with the bankruptcy.

Procter & Gamble v. Bankers Trust

We were counsel for Procter & Gamble in litigation in 1994-96 against Bankers Trust arising out of two substantial derivatives contracts entered into by P&G. The litigation raised numerous issues of first impression involving the application of contract, fraud, negligence and fiduciary duty, federal and state securities and commodities and RICO law to derivatives contracts, and led to Sixth Circuit and Supreme Court decisions on issues including the discoverability of materials relating to Federal Reserve Board examination of regulated banks and the power of District Courts to enjoin publication of materials filed under seal under protective orders. The case involved

massive discovery of hundreds of thousands of pages of documents and thousands of audiotapes and computer files, and required extensive computerization for discovery management. The matter settled shortly before trial, resulting in a \$165 million recovery by P&G, the largest (in absolute or percentage terms) of any such publicly reported derivatives recovery.

Recording Industry of America vs. Various Defendants in Ohio and Kentucky

Acted as counsel seeking to enjoin the illegal uploading and downloading of copyrighted music over the internet on peer to peer websites.

The Dietz Company, Inc. v. IPC Power Resistors International, Inc., State of Wisconsin, Circuit Court, Waukesha County Case No. 01-CV-00373

After trial, obtained dismissal of claim brought by former salesman who alleged that he was entitled to certain protections under Wisconsin Statutes and Kentucky law. The salesman argued that his termination was improper and that he was entitled to substantial damages.

The Procter & Gamble Company v. Paul Stoneham

Dinsmore & Shohl represented The Procter & Gamble Company in a lawsuit against a former employee for violation of The Procter & Gamble Non-Competition Agreement and the Ohio Trade Secrets Act. The Court of Appeals adopted the doctrine of inevitable use and upheld the validity of The Procter & Gamble Non-Competition Agreement.