



Lynda E. Roesch

Of Counsel

lynda.roesch@dinsmore.com

Cincinnati, OH

Tel: (513) 977-8139

Lynda has counseled clients on trademark clearance and registrations. As well, she has prosecuted trademark applications for more than 30 years. Her practice focuses on litigation involving intellectual property at the trial court and appellate levels. She represents clients in opposition and cancellation proceedings before the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board and tries trademark and copyright infringement and unfair competition actions all over the country. Lynda helped draft federal legislation on dilution and cyber squatting.

She has served as general counsel for the International Trademark Association (INTA). In addition, she served on the Board of Directors and chaired numerous committees (Trademark Office Practices, Legislative Analysis, and Internet) for INTA. She is listed in *Best Lawyers*®, *Super Lawyers*®, and *Chambers USA*® for intellectual property litigation, primarily trademarks.

Services

- Intellectual Property
- Trademark Procurement/Management & Copyright
- Intellectual Property Acquisitions
- International Business
- Intellectual Property Litigation

Education

- University of Toledo College of Law (J.D., 1979)
- University of Michigan (M.S., 1976)
- University of Notre Dame (B.S., 1975)

Bar Admissions

- Ohio
- U.S. Patent & Trademark Office

Affiliations/Memberships

- Cincinnati Bar Association
- Ohio State Bar Association
- American Bar Association
- Federal Bar Association
- Counsel to the International Trademark Association
- International Trademark Association
 - Discovery Practice and Procedure Enforcement Committee chair
 - Board of Directors past member (1995 - 1997)
 - Internet Committee chair
 - Legislation Analysis Committee
 - Trademark Office Practices Committee past chair
 - PTO Roundtable subcommittee past chair
- Montgomery City Council,
 - Parks Recreation Committee
 - Public Works Committee
 - Government Affairs Committee
- Montgomery Arts Commission, Public Art Committee
- OKI, Board of Trustees
- Blue Ash Montgomery Symphony, board

Distinctions

- Peer Review Rated AV in *Martindale-Hubbell*
- Appointed by the Ohio Supreme Court to the Committee on Character & Fitness (1997 - 2000)
- *Best Lawyers*® (1995 - present)
 - "Lawyer of the Year" in Cincinnati for Trademark Law (2014)
 - Litigation - Intellectual Property; Trademark Law (2021-2024)
- Ohio *Super Lawyers*® (2004 - present)
- Top 50 Women Lawyers in Ohio by *Law & Politics*
- *Chambers USA*®: *America's Leading Lawyers for Business*, Intellectual Property
- *Who's Who Legal - The International Who's Who of Business Lawyers*
- *World Trademark Review's* edition of *WTR 1000* (2014, 2019); Gold Tier (2020); Silver Tier (2021)

Experience

Breach of Contract and Trademark Infringement Matter

Represented The United States Playing Card Company in a breach of contract and trademark infringement matter. Verdict was rendered for U.S. Playing Card on breach of contract and for defendant Bicycle Club on the trademark infringement matter.

Copyright Infringement Matter

Represented Charles H. Mack & Associates in a copyright infringement matter against Brock International. The case was settled after intensive discovery and numerous depositions.

Debbie K, Inc. v. Jason Gavey, et al.

Represented Debbie K, Inc. and Déjà vu in Debbie K, Inc. v. Jason Garvey, et. al., in the Hamilton County Court of Common Pleas. After Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order in favor of our client, Debbie K, the case was settled pending a preliminary injunction hearing.

Inbound U.S. Intellectual Property and Trademarks Registration and Transactional Advice

We have provided a number of Indian companies with inbound U.S. intellectual property and trademarks registration and transactional advice.

Market Day Corporation v. Steven R. Maas d/b/a/ Northern Prime Meats Seafood and Steak Company

Represented Market Day Corporation in Market Day Corporation v. Steven R. Maas d/b/a Northern Prime Meats Seafood and Steak Company, in the Southern District of Ohio. A judgment was entered in favor of our client, Market Day.

National Equipment Distributing, Inc. v. Kalyn Siebert, Inc.

Represented Kalyn Siebert in National Equipment Distributing, Inc. v. Kalyn Siebert, Inc. in the Southern District of Ohio. The case was originally set for a motion for preliminary injunction. The case was ultimately dismissed by the Plaintiff.

Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company v. Sovereign Bank and Sovereign Bancorp. Inc.

Represented Sovereign Bancorp, Inc. in Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company v. Sovereign Bank and Sovereign Bancorp, Inc., in the Southern District of Ohio. After the Court entered a Temporary Restraining Order against our client, Sovereign, the Court found in favor of Sovereign after the preliminary injunction hearing. The case was settled pending appeal in the Sixth Circuit.

Outdoor Technologies, Inc. v. Vinyl Visions, LLC d/b/a/ Maximum Fence

Represented Outdoor Technologies, Inc. in Outdoor Technologies, Inc. v. Vinyl Visions, LLC d/b/a Maximum Fence, in the Southern District of Ohio. We represented Outdoor Technologies in a false advertising matter and the case was settled.

Personnel Support Systems Inc. v. Steven R. Harrington and Personnel Specialists, Inc.

Represented Steven Harrington in Personnel Support Systems Inc. v. Steven R. Harrington and Personnel Specialists, Inc. in the Southern District of Ohio. The case was scheduled for preliminary injunction and dismissed after initial expedited discovery.

Preliminary Injunction Matter

Represented Check Into Cash in the Northern District of Ohio in a preliminary injunction case. The case was settled approximately two weeks before the preliminary injunction hearing.

The Procter & Gamble Company v. Colgate-Palmolive Company

Represented The Procter & Gamble Company in The Procter & Gamble Company v. Colgate-Palmolive Company in the Southern District of New York on a copyright and trademark case. The case was tried to the Court. Judgment rendered for Colgate-Palmolive.

The United States Playing Card Company v. Harbro, LLC

Represented The United States Playing Card Company in The United States Playing Card Company v. Harbro, LLC, at the United States Trademark Trial and Appeal Board. We represented the United States Playing Card Company opposing the registration of the mark VEGAS as geographically misdescriptive. The Trademark Trial and Appeal Board found in favor of Harbro.

Trademark / Trade Dress Infringement and Unfair Competition

Represented Holloway Company in a trademark/trade dress infringement and unfair competition case. Judgment was rendered for Holloway at the close of plaintiff's case.

Trademark and Trade Dress Matter

Represented The Procter & Gamble Company in a trademark and trade dress matter versus Island Maid. Judgment was rendered for Procter & Gamble.

Trademark Cancellation Action

Represented The Procter & Gamble Company in a trademark cancellation action against The Good Earth. Judgment was rendered for The Procter & Gamble Company after trial.

Trademark Infringement and Declaratory Judgment Actions

Represented The United States Playing Card Company in a trademark infringement action in the Northern District of Georgia and a declaratory judgment action in the Southern District of Ohio. Both cases were settled prior to a preliminary injunction hearing.

Trademark Infringement Matter

Represented Celestion Industries in a trademark infringement matter versus Vernon Llewellyn. Judgment was rendered for Celestion.

Trademark Infringement Matter

Represented Overhead Door Company in a trademark infringement matter versus Service Overhead Door. Judgment was granted for Overhead Door.

Trademark Matter

Represented Guardian Life Insurance in a trademark matter in the Southern District of Ohio. The case was settled before the preliminary injunction hearing.

Trademark Rights Action

Represented The Procter & Gamble Company in a trademark rights action versus Hershey. Judgment was rendered for The Procter & Gamble Company.

Trademark Rights Action

Represented The Procter & Gamble Company in a trademark rights action versus Sentry Chemical. Judgment was rendered for The Procter & Gamble Company.